




Specific Accreditation Criteria Calibration ISO/IEC 17025 Annex

Electrical metrology

January 2018



© Copyright National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia 2014


This publication is protected by copyright under the Commonwealth of Australia Copyright Act 1968.

NATA's accredited facilities or facilities seeking accreditation may use or copy this publication or print or email this publication internally for accreditation purposes.

Individuals may store a copy of this publication for private non-commercial use or copy a reasonable portion of this publication in accordance with the fair dealing provisions in Part III Division 3 of the Copyright Act 1968.

You must include this copyright notice in its complete form if you make a copy of this publication.

Apart from these permitted uses, you must not modify, copy, reproduce, republish, frame, upload to a third party, store in a retrieval system, post, transmit or distribute this content in any way or any form or by any means without express written authority from NATA.



Electrical metrology

This document provides interpretative criteria and recommendations for the application of ISO/IEC 17025 for both applicant and accredited facilities conducting electrical metrology.

Applicant and accredited facilities must also comply with ISO/IEC 17025 the NATA ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document (SAD) and the NATA Calibration ISO/IEC 17025 Appendix.

This annex provides additional guidance and recognition of the metrological traceability requirements that are applicable to all types of measurements in this discipline.

Measurement Traceability

Artefact calibration

Some digital instruments are adjusted by a process usually referred to as 'artefact calibration'. This typically consists of connecting the instrument with one or more reference devices such as a DC voltage reference and a standard resistor.

While this procedure is specified by the manufacturer and should be performed at the specified intervals, it does not constitute an adequate calibration by itself. It is still necessary to perform the full calibration (verification) of the instrument as specified by the manufacturer.

Global Navigation Satellite System, (GNSS) devices as traceable standards

(GNSS) devices may be used as traceable standards and to check the operation of a calibrated standard. Two traceability paths are possible:

- via calibration of the GNSS device; or
- by operation of the GNSS device in conjunction with careful validation of its outputs.

The most direct traceability path is obtained by calibration of a GNSS device to a national standard. Less frequent calibration may be acceptable, given that the device may not be subject to significant long-term drift. The uncertainty budget will need to take into account variability in operating conditions, such as that due to the ionosphere. It is strongly recommended that the device status be logged and verified for correct operation during use. Status information such as the number of satellites tracked and the accuracy of the timing solution is greatly preferred over simply verifying that the device is 'locked'. The availability of such diagnostics should be considered when purchasing equipment.

In principle, a GNSS device can also be used as a standard traceable to UTC (AUS) without independent calibration.

Note: In the case of GPS, the link to UTC (AUS) is via UTC: the offset between UTC (AUS) and UTC and the offset between UTC (USNO) (which GPS time is steered to) and UTC are published, allowing the two to be linked. Similar data exist for BeiDou and GLONASS. For convenience, data linking UTC (AUS) and GPS are published on the NMI Time and Frequency ftp server.

The uncertainty budget also needs to account for uncertainties in the link between a GNSS time scale and a device's outputs; which will typically be the largest source of uncertainty.

Note: Guidance on uncertainties can be obtained from the APMP Technical Committee for Time and Frequency's web pages: <http://www.apmpweb.org/fms/guidelines3.php>

When using a GNSS device as a traceable standard without independent calibration, the device's output should be compared during use with at least one other independent GNSS device. Each device should be configured to use a single, different GNSS system e.g. one device using GPS and the other using GLONASS, for maximum independence. Correlations may still exist e.g. single-frequency receivers will be using approximately the same ionosphere correction, and these should be accounted for in the uncertainty budget.

Traceability of ac current calibrations using multi-turn coils and multifunctional calibrators

The following approach is recommended:

- Calibrate the coil at a lower than nominal current;
- Check the calibrator's ability to produce maximum required current and its accuracy with the coil in place;
- Rely on the current independence of the coil ratio.

An example of the practical realisation of this approach is as follows.

To calibrate a 1000 A current range realised by a calibrator and a coil with a turn ratio of 100:1 and a 10A rated primary current:

1. Calibrate a clamp meter, or another suitable transfer standard, at 10 A by directly connecting it to a traceably calibrated calibrator;
2. Calibrate the same clamp meter at 10 A using the coil and a calibrator set to 0.1 A;
3. Apply 10 A from the calibrator to a series combination of a coil and an ammeter (or the same clamp meter) and verify that the difference between the calibrator output current between 1 and 3 is within the acceptable limits.

Steps 1 and 2 give the error of the coil whilst step 3 checks the accuracy of the calibrator when supplying coil current.

In addition, an uncertainty component due to the positioning of the clamp is then determined. Normally a current clamp is calibrated with the conductors (core of the calibration coil) perpendicular to and centrally located within the aperture of the clamp. To determine the positioning uncertainty, the jaws of the clamp are moved around to find the largest difference. This is accounted for as a rectangular distributed uncertainty component.

Amendment Table

The table below provides a summary of changes made to the document with this issue.

AMENDMENT TABLE	
Section or Clause	Amendment
New document	This document represents a direct adoption of the former Calibration Application Document Appendix D. The document has been reviewed and updated to reflect the new accreditation criteria documentation structure.
ac current	Guidance added for the use of multi-turn coils and multifunctional calibrators.