




**Specific Accreditation Criteria
Manufactured Goods ISO/IEC 17025 Annex**

**Software and Information System
Performance Testing**

January 2018



© Copyright National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia 2013

This publication is protected by copyright under the Commonwealth of Australia Copyright Act 1968.

NATA's accredited facilities or facilities seeking accreditation may use or copy this publication or print or email this publication internally for accreditation purposes.

Individuals may store a copy of this publication for private non-commercial use or copy a reasonable portion of this publication in accordance with the fair dealing provisions in Part III Division 3 of the Copyright Act 1968.

You must include this copyright notice in its complete form if you make a copy of this publication.

Apart from these permitted uses, you must not modify, copy, reproduce, republish, frame, upload to a third party, store in a retrieval system, post, transmit or distribute this content in any way or any form or by any means without express written authority from NATA.




Table of Contents

Software and Information Systems Performance Testing	4
Terms and definitions used in this document	4
Confidentiality	6
4 Management requirements	6
4.1 Organisation.....	6
Local and remote testing.....	6
4.3 Document control	7
4.4 Review of requests, tenders and contracts	7
4.6 Purchasing services and supplies	7
4.13 Control of records.....	7
4.13.2.1 Technical records	7
5 Technical requirements	8
5.1 General	8
5.2 Personnel.....	8
5.2.1 Persons issuing test reports	8
5.3 Accommodation and environmental conditions.....	8
5.4 Test and calibration methods and method validation	9
5.4.5 Validation of methods.....	9
5.5 Equipment.....	10
5.6 Measurement traceability	11
5.6.1 General	11
5.6.3 Reference standards and reference materials	12
5.6.3.1 Reference standards	12
5.7 Sampling	12
5.8 Handling of test and calibration items	12
5.9 Assuring the quality of test and calibration results	13
5.10 Reporting the results	13
5.10.3 Test reports.....	13
5.10.5 Opinions and interpretations.....	13
References	14
Standards	14
NATA publications	15
Other references.....	15
Amendment Table	16

Software and Information System Performance Testing

This document provides interpretative criteria and recommendations for the application of ISO/IEC 17025 for both applicant and accredited facilities conducting software and information performance testing.

Applicant and accredited facilities must also comply with ISO/IEC 17025 and the NATA ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document (SAD).

The clause numbers in this document follow those of ISO/IEC 17025 but since not all clauses require interpretation the numbering may not be consecutive.

Terms and definitions used in this document

The following terms and definitions are provided only to facilitate understanding of this document. These definitions describe terms which are defined or used in national and international standards e.g. ISO/IEC 25000. And AS/NZS ISO/IEC/IEEE 29119.1

Evaluator: Individual or organisation that performs an evaluation. Referred to as 'facility' in this document. A 'tester' of software will perform work for the facility.

Evaluation requester: The person or organisation that requests an evaluation. Referred to as 'customer' in this document.

Evaluation requirements: Description of the objectives of the evaluation, generally relating to the product's intended use and associated risks.

Evaluation specification: Description of the scope of the evaluation and the measurements to be performed on the product submitted for evaluation and its various components.
Means of testing (MOT): Hardware and/or software, and the procedures for its use, including the executable test suite itself, used to carry out the testing required.

Reference

implementation: An implementation of one or more standards or specifications, against which a means of testing and test tools for those standards or specifications are tested, for the purposes of validation of those means of testing and test tools. The term 'validated reference implementation' is used if the reference implementation has been shown to be derived faithfully from (i.e. to be 'traceable' back to) the relevant standard or specification.

Requester's

requirements: An initial version of the evaluation requirements provided by the evaluation requester.

Software developer

(Product developer): An organisation that performs development activities during the software lifecycle process.

Software product

(Product under test)

evaluation: Technical operation that consists of producing an assessment of one or more characteristics of a software product according to a specified procedure.

Test case: set of test case preconditions, inputs (including actions, where applicable), and expected results, developed to drive the execution of a test item to meet test objectives, including correct implementation, error identification, checking quality, and other valued information

Test environment Facilities, hardware, software, firmware, procedures, and documentation intended for or used to perform testing of software

Test item work product that is an object of testing. Also called implementation or test object.

Test method: Specified technical procedure for performing a testing service including:

- the specification of all the individual test cases of a test suite;
- the test tools (both hardware and software) used to run those test cases and the way in which those test tools are used;
- the procedures used to select and run the test cases;
- the procedures used to analyse the observations and state the results.

Test software: Software used to carry out or assist in carrying out the testing required.

Test suite: A complete set of test cases that is necessary to achieve a testing objective.

Test tool: Hardware and/or software, excluding the test suite itself, used to carry out or assist in carrying out the testing required.

Test verdict: A statement of 'pass', 'fail' or 'inconclusive', specified in a test case, concerning conformance of the software under test with respect to that test case when it is executed.

Confidentiality

Facilities who have applied for or who have *Information security evaluation* in their Scope of Accreditation covering the evaluation of IT security under the Australasian Information Security Evaluation Program (AISEP) should be aware that NATA will exchange information of the facility's accreditation with the Department of Defence, Australian Signals Directorate (ASD) in support of a memorandum of understanding signed by NATA and the Department of Defence. Relevant ASD staff may also attend these assessments, either as Technical Assessors, where appropriate, or as observers.

4 Management requirements

4.1 Organisation

4.1.3 The management system shall cover work carried out in the facility's permanent site, at sites away from its permanent site, or in associated temporary or mobile site, where the customer may contribute to setting up and maintenance of the test environment. Further, the management system shall cover work performed by a tester who is remote to the test environment with access by a network e.g. the Internet.

Local and remote testing

The following is a conceptual model of testing including remote testing.

Tester remote (e.g. access by Internet)	Tester has remote access to the test lab, which houses the test environment.	Tester has remote access to the remote test environment.
Tester present on-site	Testing done in test laboratory	The tester is located at the remote test environment.
	Testing in test laboratory	Testing outside test laboratory (e.g. at customer premises or in-situ)

Figure 1: Remote Testing Conceptual Model

The important aspect of the model is that it expresses two independent dimensions of testing "remoteness":

1. The testing may be performed in the test lab or remote to the test lab.
2. The tester may be co-located with the test environment or they may be located remote to the test environment with network access e.g. by the Internet.

4.1.4 The desirability of testing software during the development process is recognised. In these circumstances, the facility shall have procedures for ensuring its independence and that of its staff from the development process, and for identifying and controlling potential conflicts of interest.

4.3 Document control

4.3.1 The tester shall have access to all laboratory documentation when working remotely.

The laboratory's central document repository shall remain the single point of truth for all management system documentation, and any remote storage should be temporary e.g. for the purpose of a remote worker revising such documentation.

4.3.3.4 The procedures to control computerised systems should address appropriate implementation of management and control configuration, maintenance of traceability between related documents, and where appropriate a combination of manual and computer based approaches.

Test methods can include test plans, test suites, test cases including relevant input data, evaluation procedures and test design specifications. These must, therefore, be controlled.

4.4 Review of requests, tenders and contracts

Facilities issuing compliance results to a published conformity assessment standard such as product testing standards, must ensure the name or identification of the published standard is evident in the Scope of Accreditation. The use of 'and similar standards' in scopes of accreditation is not permitted.

Consideration should be given to AS ISO/IEC 33001 when determining capability for software testing.

4.6 Purchasing services and supplies

Commercially available test tool validation services, software testing tools, hardware and such consumables as diskettes, CDs, DVDs etc. are regarded as services and supplies.

4.13 Control of records

4.13.2.1 Technical records

4.13.2.1 No records should be kept on a remote system, except temporarily, so that the laboratory central repository remains the single point of truth for all test records.

Test tools must be identified by at least their name, supplier and version number.

4.13.2.2 Where test records and data are stored temporarily in remote systems, the remote tester shall ensure that they are stored in a way that security requirements of confidentiality, integrity and availability of test records are preserved. Security requirements should apply to both physical and electronic security of test records and data. Electronic security should apply to whatever computer media are employed e.g. a PC or a removable storage

drive. Electronic security should ensure that access to records and data is controlled by the tester both for their viewing and use.

5 Technical requirements

5.1 General

5.1.1 When a tester is working remotely and/or testing is being performed remote to the laboratory, the laboratory shall ensure the remote location of the tester and/or test environment does not affect the correctness and reliability of the tests performed.

5.2 Personnel

5.2.1 Persons issuing test reports

Individuals who issue test results assume responsibility for the technical validity and accuracy of all information contained in test reports. They must have and demonstrate a sound knowledge of:

- the principles of the activities they perform or supervise;
- the standards or specifications for which accreditation is sought or held;
- the facility's management system;
- ISO/IEC 17025, NATA Rules, this document and pertinent NATA Accreditation Criteria;
- any relevant measurement ranges, test specifications and the estimation of the uncertainties of measurement associated with the test results for which the facility is accredited or seeking accreditation; and
- where remote testing is to be performed, the individuals should have a sound knowledge of all procedures that are unique to remote testing e.g. control of a remote environment, security of data, storage of documents and records, and connecting to a test environment from a remote location.

Facility staff who release test results shall hold a position within the organisation which provides authority over the accredited activities and, where necessary, results to be rejected when they consider them to be inadequate.

Consultants to the facility may issue test reports provided they have the knowledge necessary to allow them to have authority over the accredited activities. Consultants must also hold a written contract or agreement with the facility in which their role and authority is clearly defined and that they agree to hold confidential information relating to customers of the facility. The agreement should further indicate that the facility is responsible for work performed by the consultant including acceptance of the indemnity responsibilities detailed in NATA Rules.

5.3 Accommodation and environmental conditions

5.3.1 The term 'environment' includes all hardware, software, data and network that make up the test environment. This applies to an environment located at a facility or a remote test environment. In the case of a test environment on a customer premises, the environment includes all customer

software, hardware, data and network that contribute to the test environment. The facility shall ensure that any interference from other activities in the system does not invalidate the result of the specified tests. Examples of such activities are uncontrolled network activity during a performance test, virus scanners, obsolete versions of software, and backing up.

Where a tester is remote to the test site, the test environment includes all hardware, software, data and network access that form the test environment for the remote tester.

5.3.2 The test environment and the software under test shall be controlled and records kept.

Where a remote tester or remote testing is involved, the test documentation must describe how control is maintained over the total test environment. In particular, the documentation must describe how a tester maintains control of a test environment hosted in whole or in part at a customer's premises. For example, the tester must be aware of any software upgrade such as a service pack made to a component of the test environment. The facility must demonstrate how access to the remote tester's environment is controlled and maintained.

5.3.4 Where a tester is remote, the laboratory must demonstrate how access to the remote tester's environment is controlled. Where a remote test environment is used, e.g. at a customer's premises, the laboratory must demonstrate how control of access to the test environment is maintained.

5.4 Test and calibration methods and method validation

5.4.5 Validation of methods

5.4.5.2 Tool verification is the process of confirming that a means of testing or test tool will produce results that are consistent with the specifications of the relevant test suites, with any relevant standards and, if applicable, a previously verified version of the means of testing or test tool.

In-house modifications to test tools must be validated.

Initial validation of a test tool shall be made by testing the test tool against a 'reference implementation', using all the test cases from the complete conformance test suite that are applicable to the reference implementation.

There shall be an overall program of means of testing (MOT) validation and test tool validation and this shall be designed and operated to ensure, whenever applicable, that the results of test tool validation are traceable to international standards of test tool equivalence. In the absence of such standards, appropriate national or international harmonisation agreements shall be used, wherever applicable, to check the reliability of test tool validation results.

A 'reference implementation', where available, should be used for test tool validation. If there is no suitable 'reference implementation', then the facility shall define procedures that it uses to check the correct operation of the test tool. Records of test tool validations must include reasons for the cases being run, date, environment information, a summary of the results obtained, details of any discrepancies from the expected results and indicate the traceability to international standard test suites or appropriate authoritative specifications.

This shall apply to both validations performed by the facility or by an external supplier.

When the test method requires test software to be installed on the system under test, the facility shall check that the software has been installed correctly.

Whenever any change is made to the test tool or testing environment, or whenever there is any doubt about the correct operation of the test tool, it shall be re-validated by testing against the 'reference implementation'.

Commercial off-the-shelf test tools in general use within their designed application range may be considered as sufficiently verified until a suitable means of independent validation becomes available.

5.4.6 With respect to Measurement Uncertainty of quantitative measurements, additional consideration should be given to measurement results that are derived by computational methods as opposed to calculation methods.

Calculation may produce a result taking into account an exhaustive and rigorous approach of all possible factors influencing the measurand (For example: thorough calculation from first principles or summation of all unique events).

Computation may estimate the measurand, by the use of simulation, empirical data sampling, or from sources of random data (For example: n empirical samples, simulation from n random numbers).

Test facilities should include a statement of uncertainty of measurement for quantitative measurements, identifying and reasonably estimating all components able to influence uncertainty of measurement.

Note: For further information please refer to:
(ILAC-G17:2002) - *Introducing the Concept of Uncertainty of Measurement in Testing in Association with the Application of the Standard ISO/IEC 17025*"

5.5 Equipment

Note: Test equipment includes hardware, software, data and network that make up the test environment. In this way, these requirements of clause 5.5 also apply to test tools.

5.5.2 There shall be an overall program of means of testing (MOT) validation and test tool validation and this shall be designed and operated to ensure, whenever applicable, that the results of test tool validation are traceable to international standards of test tool equivalence. In the absence of such standards, appropriate national or international harmonisation agreements shall be used, wherever applicable, to check the reliability of test tool validation results.

Smoke Testing may be one way of ensuring a test environment has been set up to meet the laboratory's specifications. Smoke testing is a quick preliminary test of a system that is done to give confidence that all the hardware, software, data & network components are in place and that the system is working to at least a basic level as a precursor to full testing.

5.5.3 Commercial off-the-shelf test tools must also be verified for their intended use.

5.5.4 Test tools should be identified by at least their name, supplier and version number.

5.5.5 Hardware shall be identified and recorded to the extent necessary for the tests being undertaken, in order to achieve repeatability and reproducibility and bearing in mind the risk of recall due to hardware errors or configuration changes. When the facility builds a machine or test kit, records must be maintained of each item of hardware and software significant to the tests undertaken.

5.6 Measurement traceability

5.6.1 General

A record shall be maintained of all test tool validations and re-validations giving reasons for the cases being run, date, environmental information if appropriate, and a summary of the results obtained plus the details of any discrepancies from the expected results. When the test tool validation is made using a reference implementation, the facility shall document fully the expected results (i.e. previously obtained results) from using the full conformance test suite to test the nominated reference implementation.

If a reference implementation is used for test tool validation, then the procedures for carrying out the validations shall be fully documented by the facility. If there is no suitable reference implementation that could be used to validate a test tool, then the facility shall define and document the procedures and methods that it uses to check the correct operation of the test tool and provide evidence that these procedures and methods are also applied whenever the test tool is modified.

When the test method requires test software to be installed on the system under test, the facility shall specify a set of confidence tests (possibly a subset of the conformance test suite) and specify the procedures to run them to check that the test software has been installed correctly. The facility shall also specify procedures to ensure that all test software mounted on a system under test is derived faithfully from an appropriate master version held by the facility.

Whenever any minor changes are made to the test tool or testing environment, or whenever there is any doubt about the correct operation of the test tool, it shall be re-validated by testing against the reference implementation using an appropriate subset of the conformity test suite, selected in accordance with specified procedures of the testing facility. Whenever any discrepancy is shown by the running of such a subset of the test suite or whenever any major change is made to the test tools or testing environment, then the test tools shall be validated against the reference implementation using the complete conformance test suite before any further testing of customers' systems takes place.

If there are any discrepancies from expected results of validations or re-validations then the relevant test cases or the test tool itself shall be suspended from use until the discrepancies have been resolved. The facility shall specify the procedures and methods it uses to validate new versions of each test tool, including its traceability to the master copy and where relevant, the consistency with previous results.

5.6.3 Reference standards and reference materials

5.6.3.1 Reference standards

A particular implementation may be used as a reference implementation only if its behaviour when tested by the relevant conformance test suite is repeatable, and if the coverage of the conformance test cases that it is capable of exercising, is impartial towards the range of implementations that may have to be tested by the conformance test suite.

When at least one suitable implementation becomes available for use as a reference implementation, then the relevant test tools shall be validated against it within a reasonable period of time.

Initial validation of a test tool shall be made by testing the test tool against the reference implementation, using all the test cases from the complete conformance test suite that are applicable to the reference implementation.

Validation reports shall, wherever applicable, indicate the traceability to international standard test suites or appropriate authoritative specifications, and shall provide the equivalence results and list known defects. This shall apply to both validations performed by the facility or by an external supplier.

5.7 Sampling

5.7.1 Sampling includes test case selection. Examples of sampling may include:

- selection of test cases to test different conditions and combination of variables;
- selection of regression tests to rerun;
- selection of source code to review based on risk;
- randomness testing in gaming systems. Sampling records for testing conducted must be maintained. Test records may include the following:
 - test case selection;
 - justification of the test case selection;
 - test plan.

5.8 Handling of test and calibration items

5.8.1 The requirements of this clause apply specifically to the test items. It is recognised that interactions between the test item, the test tools and the test environment may result in modifications occurring to the test item as part of the normal installation or testing process. The intent is to prevent unintended changes from occurring and to ensure that an unmodified version of the test item is always available. In the case of software, copies of the test item may be made and used for testing provided that the copies are traceable back to the original supplied test item and are controlled e.g. by lodgement in a version control system

5.8.2 Additional labelling of equipment under test may not be necessary for hardware and software identified by a manufacturer's model type or number as well as a unique serial number and version number.

5.8.4 In the case of software, copies of the test item may be made and used for testing provided that the copies are traceable back to the original supplied test item and are controlled e.g. by lodgement in a version control system.

5.9 Assuring the quality of test and calibration results

Until suitable proficiency testing becomes available, the facility must still be able to demonstrate how it assured the quality of its testing activities and how they comply with the requirements of clause 5.9.1 of ISO/IEC 17025.

Where uniform test tools are available the facility is expected to make use of such tools or demonstrate validation of their alternative test method. Facilities are also responsible for checking the availability of appropriate proficiency testing programs in the future and the selection of programs when available.

Test reports which are generated automatically must undergo a periodic review for correctness. The frequency and number of test reports reviewed are to be determined by the facility. The adequacy of such arrangements will be reviewed at assessment.

5.10 Reporting the results

5.10.1 Whenever test cases are such that analysis of the observations by the test operator is required in order to interpret the results, before the results can be stated in a test report, the facility shall define objective, unambiguous procedures to be followed by the personnel doing the analysis, sufficient to ensure that repeatability, reproducibility and objectivity are maintained.

Facilities shall define and document the procedures to be followed by its staff concerning the re-running of test cases. They shall include objective criteria to decide whether to re-run a test case and procedures for ensuring that the repeatability, reproducibility and objectivity of the process for deciding the outcome e.g. pass or fail, is maintained.

5.10.3 Test reports

Test reports should indicate the test suites used to perform the tests.

5.10.5 Opinions and interpretations

When the inclusion of an opinion is required to clarify the understanding of a test result or to provide advice on possible future directions of testing or when required by the customer, such opinions must be clearly identified as such on reports.

Where a communication of said opinion or interpretation by direct dialogue with a customer has occurred, such dialogue must be documented.

References

This section lists publications referenced in this document. The year of publication is not included as it is expected that only current versions of the references shall be used.

Standards

Software Evaluation Standards

- | | |
|---------------|--|
| ISO/IEC 25000 | Systems and software engineering - Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) - Guide to SQuaRE |
| ISO/IEC 25040 | Systems and software engineering - Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) - Evaluation process |
| ISO/IEC 25041 | Systems and software engineering - Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) - Evaluation guide for developers, acquirers and independent evaluators |
| ISO/IEC 25045 | Systems and software engineering - Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) - Evaluation module for recoverability |
| ISO/IEC 25051 | Software engineering - Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) - Requirements for quality of Ready to Use Software Product (RUSP) and instructions for testing |
| ISO/IEC 9126 | Information technology – Software product evaluation – Quality characteristics and guidelines for their use |
| AS/NZS 4366 | Information technology – Software packages – Quality requirements and testing |
| ISO/IEC 12119 | Information technology – Software packages – Quality requirements and testing |

Software Testing Standards

- | | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| AS/NZS ISO/IEC/IEEE 29119.1:2015 | Software and systems engineering - Software testing - Concepts and definitions |
| AS/NZS ISO/IEC/IEEE 29119.2:2015 | Software and systems engineering - Software testing - Test processes |
| AS/NZS ISO/IEC/IEEE 29119.3:2015 | Software and systems engineering - Software testing - Test documentation |

Process Assessment Standards

- | | |
|----------------------|--|
| AS/NZS ISO/IEC 33001 | Information technology – Process assessment – Concepts and terminology |
|----------------------|--|

AS/NZS ISO/IEC 33002

Information technology – Process assessment –
Requirements for performing process assessment

AS/NZS ISO/IEC 33003

Information technology – Process assessment –
Requirements for process measurement frameworks

AS/NZS ISO/IEC 33004

Information technology – Process assessment –
Requirements for process reference, process assessment
and maturity models

Security Techniques (Common Criteria) Standards

ISO/IEC 15408-1 Information technology - Security techniques -
Evaluation criteria for IT security - Part 1: Introduction and
general model

ISO/IEC 15408-2 Information technology - Security techniques -
Evaluation criteria for IT security - Part 2: Security functional
components

ISO/IEC 15408-3 Information technology - Security techniques -
Evaluation criteria for IT security - Part 3: Security
assurance components

ISO/IEC 18045 Information technology - Security techniques -
Methodology for IT security evaluation

NATA publications

General Accreditation Criteria Equipment Assurance, In-house Calibration
and Equipment Assurance

Other references

ISO/IEC Guide 99 (2007) *International vocabulary of metrology – Basic and
general concepts and associated terms (VIM)*

(ILAC-G17:2002) - *Introducing the Concept of Uncertainty of Measurement in
Testing in Association with the Application of the Standard ISO/IEC 17025*

Amendment Table

The table below provides a summary of changes made to the document with this issue.

AMENDMENT TABLE	
Section or Clause	Amendment
New document	This document represents a direct adoption of the former ICTT Application Document, as circulated for Public Comment in October 2016. The technical content is unchanged.